

## UPDATING PRIVATE FORESTS MONITORING STRATEGIC PLAN

## **CHARTER WORK PLAN**

## **JANUARY 2015**

| REQUESTOR                     | Sponsor(s)  | Project Manager | START DATE   | END DATE     |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Oregon Department of Forestry | Lena Tucker | Terry Frueh     | January 2015 | January 2016 |

#### BACKGROUND

The Monitoring Unit of ODF's Private Forests Division conducts monitoring to assess the effectiveness and implementation of rules promulgated under the Forest Practices Act (FPA) to protect natural resources, and other related programs (e.g., Oregon Plan Voluntary Measures). Historically, the Monitoring Unit's agenda has been directed by a strategic plan. The strategic plan provides a description of the Unit's monitoring approach and articulates a list of prioritized monitoring questions. The strategic plan is vital to the Monitoring Unit's mission because it address monitoring questions in a methodical and rational process with understanding, acceptance, and support by stakeholders and decision-makers. Results of monitoring efforts are taken to the Oregon Board of Forestry (Board) as part of its adaptive management approach to forest practices rules. Monitoring results also help guide training efforts, administration of the FPA, and delivery of other related programs. The goals of this strategic plan are to:

- Provide the Board, legislature, and other stakeholders timely, pertinent, and sound information at multiple temporal and spatial scales regarding the effectiveness, implementation and assumptions associated with forest practices rules and best management practices, and outcomes on the ground;
- Coordinate with other monitoring and research efforts to ensure efficient use of state resources and contribute to enterprise, integrated monitoring at the state level;
- Determine if rules, regulations or other programs are being implemented in accordance with expectations and whether they are effective in meeting resource protection goals;
- Address highest priority FPA monitoring questions for the Private Forests Division;
- Work collaboratively with technical experts and stakeholders to produce high quality, transparent monitoring results; and
- Provide technical advice and support to other natural resource agencies engaged in baseline monitoring efforts (e.g., forest and stream conditions).

The Department developed the current strategic plan in 2002 (ODF, 2002). Since 2002, the Monitoring Unit has addressed many of the plan's priority questions and the Board has completed a new strategic plan, the Forestry Program for Oregon. During discussion on their water quality topic, the Board has discussed interest in future monitoring projects and priorities. The Department is updating the strategic plan to ensure the strategy reflects current needs and priorities.

AGENDA ITEM 02 Attachment 1 Page 1 of 5



An updated strategic plan is needed to guide project prioritization for an effective and efficient monitoring program.

### PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project will develop the Unit's strategic plan that prioritizes monitoring projects. We will complete this plan by including stakeholders in its development, and by ensuring plan alignment with the Board and Department's priorities and those of other agencies. In addition, we will develop methods to periodically evaluate this plan and update as appropriate. Finally, similarities, differences, and cross-linkages between implementation and effectiveness monitoring will be clarified.

| OBJECTIVES & SUCCESS CRITERIA                                |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Objectives   | Success Criteria   | How Measured   |  |  |  |
| High quality, well-prioritized list of monitoring questions. | -Creative development of potential priorities in alignment with State, Board and Department's priorities and those of other agenciesRigorous and transparent process for prioritizing themIdentify, and fill in, gaps in monitoring questions. | -Test questions for alignment with plans and strategies from State, Board, Department and other agencies -Clearly-explained, rigorous, and rational process for prioritizing questions                   |  |  |  |
| Inclusive and transparent process for developing the plan.   | -Understanding, acceptance, and support from stakeholdersDocumentation of all decisions and input to develop the plan.   | -Support by stakeholders when final strategy brought to BoardClearly-defined process to include external and internal stakeholders in monitoring projectsAll decisions and input are clearly documented. |  |  |  |

AGENDA ITEM 02 Attachment 1 Page 2 of 5

| Objectives & Success Criteria (cont.)                                       |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Plan integrated with enterprise monitoring efforts.                         | Clear links established between monitoring strategy and enterprise monitoring efforts | Monitoring priorities cross-linked with enterprise monitoring  |  |  |  |
| Ensure the plan is up to date and we are addressing the correct priorities. | Rational, documentable method to revisit the plan.                                    | Clearly defined process to defend staff time/priorities, while allowing a logical and methodical process for both periodically evaluating and updating the strategy. |  |  |  |
| Spatial component to prioritization scheme.                                 | Question priorities are geographic-specific.  | Each question will indicate priority level for each geographic locale.   |  |  |  |

| PROJECT SCOPE               |                                     |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| In Scope (Will be Included) | Out of Scope (Will not be Included) |  |  |  |
| Compliance monitoring       | Baseline monitoring                 |  |  |  |
| Implementation monitoring   | Social monitoring                   |  |  |  |
| Effectiveness monitoring    | Forest Health                       |  |  |  |
| Assumptions monitoring      | How to implement the plan           |  |  |  |

| ASSUMPTIONS & CONSTRAINTS   |  |
|---|--|
| Assumptions (Key Bets)  | Constraints (Limiting Factors)               |
| Board and Department are committed to effectiveness and implementation monitoring, and using monitoring results as part of adaptive management and guiding where to focus training. | ODF must stay within key Division functions. |
|   |  |

| Stakeholders - Preliminary   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Interested Parties   | Why Interested   |  |  |  |
| Landowners: Committee for Family Forestlands, Oregon Forest Industries Council, Oregon Small Woodlands Association, Regional Forest Practices Committees | Affected by findings, partners in monitoring projects                              |  |  |  |
| Conservation Community: Oregon Stream Protection Coalition   | Environmental concerns   |  |  |  |
| Internal: Field Staff, State Forests   | -Play a role in monitoring design & implementation -May have to implement findings |  |  |  |
| Certification: American Tree Farm System, Forest Stewardship Council, Sustainable Forestry Initiative  | -Implementation monitoring supports their efforts                                  |  |  |  |

AGENDA ITEM 02 Attachment 1 Page 3 of 5

| STAKEHOLDERS – PRELIMINARY (CONT.)  |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| Operators: Associated Oregon Loggers  | - Affected by findings  |  |  |
| Oregon Forest Resources Institute   | - Outreach & education on findings  |  |  |
| Tribes of Oregon  | - Use findings  |  |  |
| Federal Agencies: USDA Forest Service, Natural Resource Conservation Service, Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Geological Survey, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, US Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  | -Partners in some monitoring -Use findings  |  |  |
| OSU: Forestry Extension, College of Forestry  | -Partners in some effectiveness monitoring  |  |  |
| State agencies: Department of Environmental Quality, Department of Geology and Mineral Industries, Department of Fish and Wildlife, State Parks and Recreation Department, Columbia River Gorge Commission, Department of State Lands, Oregon Health Authority, Water Resources Department, Department of Agriculture, Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board | -Partners in some monitoring -Board of Forestry required to consult with other agencies (ORS 527.710 (4)) |  |  |
| National Council for Air and Stream Improvement.  | Partners in some monitoring   |  |  |

# RELATED PROJECTS

- -Forestry Program for Oregon
- -ODF key performance measures
- -10 Year Plan for Oregon Project, Healthy Environment Policy Vision
- -Integrated enterprise monitoring
- -Key plans and strategies from other agencies

| PRIORITY ASSESSMENT  |       |      |      |         |      |  |
|----------------------|-------|------|------|---------|------|--|
| Level of Importance: | Scope | Time | Cost | Quality | Risk |  |
| Highest              | X     |      |      | X       |      |  |
| Medium               |       | X    |      |         |      |  |
| Lowest               |       |      | X    |         | X    |  |

AGENDA ITEM 02 Attachment 1 Page 4 of 5

| PROJECT TEAM  |                   |  |
|---|-------------------|--|
| Resource Name   | Role              | Responsibilities   |
| W. Terry Frueh  | Project Manager   | Project planning & management, communications & outreach                 |
| Marganne Allen  | Project Oversight | Support Project Manager, communications & outreach as needed             |
| Groom, Olson, Hawksworth, Thompson, Abraham, Clements | Project Support   | Provide technical support & review of process                            |
| Nick Henneman   | Public Affairs    | Support Project Manager through press releases and other public outreach |

| Task         | Date Due | Milestone / Deliverable |
|--------------|----------|-------------------------|
| See Timeline |          |                         |
|              |          |                         |
|              |          |                         |

| COMMUNICATION PLAN - GENERAL                  |  |                                   |                       |                               |                          |  |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Deliverable/<br>Description                   | Target<br>Audience(s)                    | Delivery<br>Method                | Delivery<br>Frequency | Who<br>Responsibl<br>e?       | Purpose                  |  |
| Project Charter Plan                          | Leadership Team,<br>BOF                  | Hard Copy                         | Once                  | Terry Frueh                   | Information              |  |
| Team Meetings                                 | Team Members                             | As Needed                         | As Needed             | Terry Frueh                   | Info./Input              |  |
| Sponsor Meetings                              | Lena Tucker/Peter Daugherty              | In person                         | Throughout project    | Terry Frueh                   | Input                    |  |
| Project Updates                               | Advisory committee(s), stakeholders      | In person, hard copy, email, etc. | As Needed             | Terry Frueh                   | Info./Input              |  |
| Stakeholder<br>brainstorming of<br>priorities | Internal and<br>External<br>stakeholders | meeting                           | Once/group            | Frueh, Allen, Project support | Input,<br>develop<br>UAS |  |

# **GROUP DECISION MAKING PROCESS**

Project Manager (Frueh) will make day-to-day decisions with input from support staff. Problem/question resolution, Board/committee preparation in coordination with Marganne Allen. Problem/question on Board/committee preparation with Lena Tucker and Peter Daugherty.

AGENDA ITEM 02 Attachment 1 Page 5 of 5